



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/669,308	09/25/2000	Philip Jeffrey Anthony	CEL1.0011	3511
7	590 09/05/2002			
Sherman & Sherman			EXAMINER	
Seventeenth Fl 2029 Century I	Park East		NI, SUHAN	
Los Angeles, CA 90067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2643	
			DATE MAILED: 09/05/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• •		· — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/669,308	ANTHONY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Suhan Ni	2643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti of within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON;	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
•	— is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa					
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers	r election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		aminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).			
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is responsive to the applicants' application filed on 09/25/2000.

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 3, filed on 09/25/2000.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) The invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fincham (US-5,548,657).

Regarding claim 1, Fincham disclose a compound loudspeaker drive unit, comprising: a first diaphragm (34) having an first coil (36) thereon; a second diaphragm (21) having a second coil (24) thereon formed on a periphery of the first diaphragm (Fig.); a first seat (19-20) having a first magnet structure (17) and defining an annular opening to allow said second coil to be moveably suspended therein; and a second seat (28) having a second magnet structure (Fig.) as claimed.

Regarding claims 2-3 and 7, Fincham further disclose the compound loudspeaker drive unit, wherein both magnets are substantially disk shaped (Fig.) and made of neodymium iron boron magnets as claimed.

4. Claims 1-3, 7-11 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Esposto (US-5,339,286).

Application/Control Number: 09/669,308 Page 3

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 1, Esposto disclose a compound loudspeaker, comprising: a first diaphragm (11) having an first coil (13) thereon; a second diaphragm (5) having a second coil (7) thereon formed on a periphery of the first diaphragm (Fig. 1); a first seat (1) having a first magnet structure (8) and defining an annular opening to allow said second coil to be moveably suspended therein; and a second seat having a second magnet structure (14) as claimed.

Regarding claims 2-3, 7 and 16-19, Esposto further disclose the compound loudspeaker, wherein both magnets are substantially disk shaped (Fig. 1) and made of neodymium iron boron magnets as claimed.

Regarding claims 8-11, Esposto further disclose the compound loudspeaker, wherein the second seat is positioned to enclose the first magnet (Fig. 1)as claimed.

Regarding claim 15, Esposto disclose a compound loudspeaker, comprising: a first diaphragm (11) having an first coil (13) thereon; a second diaphragm (5) having a second coil (7) thereon formed on a periphery of the first diaphragm (Fig. 1); a first seat (1) having a first wall and a first magnet structure (8) and defining an annular opening to allow said second coil to be moveably suspended therein; and a second seat having a second magnet structure (14) as claimed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fincham (US-5,548,657) in view of Paddock (US-5,604,815).

Application/Control Number: 09/669,308

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claims 4-6, Fincham does not clearly teach that the magnets can be magnetized after assembly as claimed. Paddock discloses a method of manufacturing a loudspeaker, including a step of magnetizing the magnet after assembly. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to magnetize the magnets after assembly the loudspeaker as an alternate choice, for reliably manufacturing loudspeakers.

6. Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fincham (US-5,548,657) in view of Kotsatos et al. (US-5,894,524).

Regarding claims 12-14, Fincham does not clearly teach a ferrofluid as claimed. Kotsatos et al. discloses a high power tweeter, having a ferrofluid (50) in a magnetic gap. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a ferrofluid into the magnetic gap of the loudspeaker as an alternate choice, for reducing heart and increasing output power.

7. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Esposto (US-5,339,286) and Fincham (US-5,548,657), further in view of Paddock (US-5,604,815).

Regarding claim 20, Esposto disclose a compound loudspeaker, comprising: a first diaphragm (11) having an first coil (13) thereon; a second diaphragm (5) having a second coil (7) thereon formed on a periphery of the first diaphragm (Fig. 1); a first seat (1) having a first wall and a first magnet structure (8) and defining an annular opening to allow said second coil to be moveably suspended therein; and a second seat having a second magnet structure (14) as claimed. But Esposto does not clearly teach that the second diaphragm is conically configured as claimed. Fincham discloses a compound loudspeaker having a conical diaphragm for generating low frequency sound. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a conical shaped diaphragm for the second diaphragm of the

Application/Control Number: 09/669,308

Art Unit: 2643

compound loudspeaker as an alternate choice, for enhancing acoustic characteristics for the

compound loudspeaker.

Furthermore, neither Esposto nor Fincham teach that the magnets can be magnetized after

Page 5

assembly as claimed. Paddock discloses a method of manufacturing a loudspeaker, including a

step of magnetizing the magnet after assembly. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one

skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to magnetize the magnets after assembly the

loudspeaker as an alternate choice, for reliably manufacturing loudspeakers.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Suhan Ni whose telephone number is (703)-308-9322, and the

number for fax machine is (703)-305-9508. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday

through Thursday from 9:00 am to 7:30 pm. If it is necessary, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis

Kuntz, can be reached at (703) 305-4708.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

STELLA WOO

PRIMARY EXAMINER

SN